

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upapo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,178	01/11/2002	Noriyuki Kasahara	00014-002002	7589
Joseph R. Bake	7590 05/24/201 er. APC	EXAMINER		
Gavrilovich, D	odd & Lindsey LLP		POPA, ILEANA	
4660 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 750 San Diego, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 .			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/045,178	KASAHARA ET AL.
	Art Unit
JOSEPH WOITACH	1633

Review			Art Unit				
Keview	JOSEPH W	OITACH	1633				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 08 April, 2011.							
1. \square Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):							
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: 							
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) <u>JOSEPH WOITACH</u> .		(3) <u>Ashwen Mehta</u> .					
(2) <u>Ileana Popa</u> .		(4)					
/Joseph T. Woitach/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1633							